

Incremental Deployment of a Signed Root Zone

Internetdagarna
4 November 2009

Ashley Heineman, NTIA

Joe Abley, ICANN

Joe Waldron, VeriSign

Jakob Schlyter, Kirei



**This design is the result of a cooperation
between ICANN & VeriSign with
support from the U.S. DoC NTIA**

Goals

Goals

- Deploy a signed root zone
 - ▶ Transparent processes
 - ▶ Audited procedures
 - ▶ Trust
 - ▶ DNSSEC deployment
 - validators, registries, registrars, name server operators

Issues

DO=1

- A significant proportion of DNS clients send queries with EDNS0 and DO=1
- Some (largely unquantified, but potentially significant) population of such clients are unable to receive large responses
- Serving signed responses might break those clients

Rollback

- If we sign the root, there will be some early validator deployment
- There is the potential for some clients to break, perhaps badly enough that we need to un-sign the root (e.g., see previous slide)
- Un-signing the root will break the DNS for validators

Proposal

Deploy Incrementally

- Serve a signed zone from just L-Root, initially
- Follow up with J-Root
- Then other root servers >A
- Last, A-Root

Deploy Incrementally

- The goal is to leave the client population with some root servers not offering large responses until the impact of those large responses is better understood
- Relies upon resolvers not always choosing a single server
 - ▶ Note we propose leaving A until last

DURZ

- “Deliberately Unvalidatable Root Zone”
- Sign RRSets with keys that are not published in the zone
- Publish keys in the zone which are not used, and which additionally contain advice for operators (see next slide)
- Swap in actual signing keys (which enables validation) at the end of the deployment process

DURZ

- Deploy conservatively
 - ▶ It is the root zone, after all
- Prevent a community of validators from forming
 - ▶ This allows us to un-sign the root zone during the deployment phase if we have to without collateral damage

Measurement

- For those root servers that are instrumented, full packet captures and subsequent analysis around signing events
- Ongoing dialogue with operator communities to assess real-world impact of changes

Testing

- A prerequisite for this proposal is a captive test of the deployment
 - ▶ Test widely-deployed resolvers, with validation enabled and disabled, against the DURZ
 - ▶ Test with clients behind broken networks that drop large responses

Thoughts?

- Feedback on this proposal would be extremely welcome
 - ▶ Here in the room
 - ▶ E-mail Jakob, Joe or Joe